

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_121235
Title of the Manuscript:	Pre-harvest application of NAA, Ca, and B on fruit drop, and yield of Thailand ber (<i>Ziziphus mauritiana</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	One cannot improve quality after harvest. Quality can be improved by taking preharvest measures. The manuscript is important as the authors worked on preharvest sprays which can improve quality of ber.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Change in title suggested. Add influence of Or change title for conveying the essence of manuscript to reach target audience. "Influence of Pre-harvest application of NAA, Ca, and B on fruit drop, and yield of Thailand ber (Ziziphus mauritiana)"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add few lines on material and methods so that readers will get comprehensive idea about manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Authors have given reasons for the results and the discussion is apt. They have also quoted the references for the reasons.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes references are sufficient and recent. But References should be arranged according to journal format only. Sample 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Accept the manuscript after suggested corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Venkata Satish Kuchi
Department, University & Country	Dr. YSR Horticultural University, India