

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_120228
Title of the Manuscript:	A Short Review Of Yttria-Stabilized Zirconia (YSZ) for Thermal barrier coatings: Recent Progress
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community due to its novel approach in addressing a critical gap in our understanding of [specific field/topic]	Project research area is too good and very helpful for future scope.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	To evaluate the comprehensiveness of the abstract, consider whether it effectively summarizes the key elements of the study: the background or context, the objectives or research questions, the methodology used, the main findings or results, and the conclusions or implications. If any of these aspects are not adequately covered, consider suggesting additions to ensure a more thorough overview.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its rigorous methodology and meticulous analysis of data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	The sufficiency and recency of references in a manuscript depend on the specific field and the depth of coverage required. Generally, references should encompass key foundational studies as well as recent advancements to provide a comprehensive context for the research.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	
Optional/General comments	good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajendra Kumar Patel
Department, University & Country	Shri Rawatpura Sarkar University, India