

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121705
Title of the Manuscript:	Seed Germination and Subsequent Seedling Growth as Influenced by Silica Nanoparticles Invigoration in Soybean (<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merrill.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Si has many (already proven) benefits for many plants. The effect on seed of a very important crop such as soybean is very important. I should have liked to see a mention of the influence of Si on N-fixing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes – just refer to the guidelines of this journal for the format.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but I have added a conclusion which could be expanded.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript have been written well and it conveys the message clearly. But: please do not use weight and mass as synonyms since they are NOT, although many people use it as such. See my comments in track changes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but see my comments in track changes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Well written over all. See my suggestions in comments and track changes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anélia Marais
Department, University & Country	South Africa