

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121377</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Study on adoption of climate adaptation strategies and its causes for adoption by farmers in Tiruchirapalli District of Tamil Nadu State</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. It determined the behaviour of farmers in the face of the effects of climate change on their rural activities, by analysing climate adaptation strategies as well as the causes of their adoption. The study helps demonstrate to producers the production constraints due to climate change, they face and the need to raise awareness about the adoption of climate adaptation strategies to make their production systems resilient	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure of the manuscript is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. In general, it respects the rules of the art of scientific writing. Nevertheless, The introduction should be better developed and enriched by scientific sources that will be used for the discussion of the results	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of scientific sources needs to be improved, especially with recent articles less than 10 years old.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Naroua Illiassou</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Université Boubakar Bâ de Tillabéri, Niger</b>