

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121377
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on adoption of climate adaptation strategies and its causes for adoption by farmers in Tiruchirapalli District of Tamil Nadu State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript “A Study on adoption of climate adaptation strategies and its causes for adoption by farmers in Tiruchirapalli District of Tamil Nadu State” is good study to be publish.</p> <p>1. Typographical and Grammatical Errors:</p> <p>The manuscript contains several typographical and grammatical errors that need to be addressed to improve readability and professionalism. These errors can distract readers and detract from the credibility of the study. It's crucial to thoroughly proofread the document to correct issues such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Spelling Mistakes: Check for any misspelled words throughout the manuscript. Even minor spelling errors can undermine the perceived quality of the work.• Punctuation: Ensure that punctuation marks are used correctly. This includes the proper use of commas, periods, colons, and semicolons.• Grammar: Review the manuscript for grammatical accuracy. This includes proper verb tense, subject-verb agreement, and sentence structure. For example, ensure that sentences are complete and not run-on, and that articles ("a," "an," "the") are used appropriately.• Consistency: Maintain consistency in formatting, terminology, and style throughout the document. This includes consistent use of headings, subheadings, and numbering. <p>2. Discussion Section:</p> <p>The discussion section of the manuscript is an essential component where the implications of the findings are interpreted and related to existing literature. To enhance this section, consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Accuracy and Clarity: Ensure that the discussion accurately reflects the results presented in the study. Avoid overgeneralizing or making unsupported claims. Clearly link the findings with the research questions and hypotheses.• Comparative Analysis: Compare and contrast your findings with those of other studies in the field. Highlight similarities and differences, and discuss potential reasons for these discrepancies. This demonstrates a comprehensive understanding of the topic and situates your research within the broader context.• Implications: Elaborate on the practical implications of your findings for farmers and policymakers in Tiruchirapalli District. Discuss how the adoption of climate adaptation strategies can be improved based on your results.• Limitations and Future Research: Address any limitations of your study and suggest areas for future research. Acknowledging the study's limitations shows a critical approach and openness to further investigation.• Integration of Data: Ensure that the discussion integrates data from your analysis effectively. Use specific examples from your results to support your points and provide a clear narrative of how your findings contribute to the field.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aqeel Ahmad
Department, University & Country	University of Poonch Rawalakot, Pakistan