



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>General comments The focus of the study is topical and coherent. The reviewers have given high marks for the manuscript. However, there are many minor but very important errors that cannot be ignored. I propose that they rewrite the manuscript responding to my comments below and attached manuscript. Once this is responded to, the manuscript can be published. If there will be need for me to see the manuscript, I am happy to relook.</p> <p>Tilte The title can be revised to read "<i>Climate change adaptation strategies by farmers in Tiruchirapalli District of Tamil Nadu State</i>"</p> <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ There are many gramatical and typos.➤ Objective not well stated. Check comment on attached manuscript. <p>Materials and methods The authors have not indicated how the collected data was analysed.</p> <p>Results and Disscusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ The authors have not discussed their results in relation to other studies. This is a comment that was given by the reviewer and it does not seem to have been responded to. <p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ The conclusion seems to be a continuation of the results and discussion section. Authors should just provide the key take home message without discussing it. <p>References The authors referencing system is not consisted. They have use both numbers and names within the text. They should revise this.</p>	<p>I have done all the correction in Title, introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion and conclusion as per the reviewers comment .</p>