

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121322
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing the factors influencing the spread of Mundu chilli cultivation in Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript holds significant importance as it delves into the popularity of Mandu chilli cultivation in Tamil Nadu. I appreciate this manuscript because it highlights the enhancement of the local cultivar of Tamil Nadu, which possesses more desirable traits.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Influential factors for the spread of Mundu chilli cultivation in Tamil Nadu	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, Its compact.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, all sub sections are in line and appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript adheres to all scientific procedures, but there is substantial room for improvement in the language used. It is based on primary data and emphasizes the popularity of a local cultivar with more desirable and improved traits compared to those released by many public and private sectors. However, the results and discussion section only present results, with many lacking proper justifications through references. Therefore, there is significant scope to reframe the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The listed references are more recent and relevant for the introduction and review sections, where as there is scope to increase the references in discussion section.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language used to write the manuscript is layman language, where every reader can understand. Still there is large scope to improve the language used.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajendragouda Patil
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India