

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121260
Title of the Manuscript:	Silk Revolution: Redefining Sericulture through Application of Precise Nanotechnologies
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nanotechnology is an emerging technology and it holds a significant position in sericulture. Nanotechnology in sericulture helps to achieve higher silk yields, sustainable practices and improved treatments for diseases in silkworm. The title of manuscript is broad thus covers a comprehensive work related to sericulture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article is suitable as it perfectly matches with the literature that has been included.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No changes suggested in abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has been screened for detection of AI content and it showed more than 80% of AI content. The manuscript should be revised again to bring down the AI content in acceptable range of 10-40%.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some more references should be added in all the sections.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes article language is suitable and there are minimal possible chances of mistakes as almost 80% of paper shows AI content.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> References should be in a proper order in the manuscript as 1,2,3... Name of journal should be italicized in references section. Italicize scientific names like <i>Morus Alba</i> (references section). 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iqra Rafiq
Department, University & Country	SKUAST-K, India