

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121212</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Application of Univariate Time Series Models for Forecasting Area, Production, and Productivity of Aman Rice in Jalpaiguri</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The employed statistical methods are sound and advanced enough to model the main features of data. As a result I believe that scientific community will gain knowledge by reading this work</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>I believe that the title is suitable for the article since it goes with the body of manuscript</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>No, since abstract provide short and brief summary of the whole content of the manuscript</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Partial yes, but I have a few comments as listed below The Author should</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Correct subsection 2.3 ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES as 2.3 METHODS OF DATA ANALYSIS</li> <li>2. Correct subsection 2.4 Test Statistics as 2.4. TEST FOR STATIONARITY AND NORMALITY and include only number 1) and 2) under the subtopic. In addition under subsection 2.4 Test Statistics, Ljung-Box Test and Table 1: Model Evaluation Metrics should be under the subsection 2.3.2.3 <i>Diagnostic checking</i>. You should have subsection 2.5 as 2.5 Test for ARCH effect and write ARCH L-M Test under it.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound this is because of the fact that the employed statistical methods are advanced enough to model the main features of data. In addition, the author performed many analysis and provided detail description about the results of analysis</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Belay Belete Anjullo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Arba Minch University, Ethiopia</b>