

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_120453</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE PARADIGM OF THE EFFICACY OF CHEMOTHERAPY IN ASSOCIATION WITH DIFFERENT ADJUVANT TREATMENTS IN THE TREATMENT OF RETINOBLASTOMA: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This is a significant contribution to the field of oncology, specifically in the treatment of retinoblastoma. This systematic review compiles and analyzes data from various studies to evaluate how different adjuvant treatments impact the efficacy of chemotherapy in managing retinoblastoma. By synthesizing existing research, this paper helps to identify the most effective treatment combinations, potentially guiding clinical practice and improving patient outcomes.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This review appears scientifically robust and technically sound for several reasons. Firstly, the systematic review methodology ensures a comprehensive and unbiased analysis of the available data, adhering to rigorous standards for data collection and analysis. This approach minimizes bias and increases the reliability of the conclusions drawn. Secondly, the inclusion and exclusion criteria for studies are clearly defined, enhancing the reproducibility of the review. Additionally, the manuscript provides detailed statistical analyses and discusses the limitations and strengths of the included studies, which adds to its credibility. Overall, the thorough and methodical approach taken in this manuscript underscores its scientific validity and technical soundness.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, minor revision is required, which is indicated in the manuscript in track mode.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Over all, the review article is well written and may be published after minor corrections.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohd Afsahul Kalam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Regional Research Institute of Unani Medicine, India</b>