

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120911
Title of the Manuscript:	Importance of morphological and nutritional characters of foxtail millet
Type of the Article	Review article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The current review article introduces the importance of morphological and nutritional features of foxtail millet, which is most cultivated, produced, and consumed in Asian regions. It highlights the nutritional and health benefits of foxtail millet. However, this review article is written in a quite simple way, and lacks of significant data, analysis, discussion, and conclusions. Therefore, I suggest that it should be rejected, without consideration of publication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it could be used.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract basically covers all necessary descriptions, but it needs to be rewritten to make it more clear for readers to read. For example, the aim, important information, and concrete conclusion have not been given in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it's appropriate and logical. But more subsections could be provided to make the article rich to read.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since this is a review article, it should contain more latest research on foxtail millet, and provides some tables and figures to support it. Moreover, more discussion and critical conclusion should be mentioned in the manuscript. The recent advancements in foxtail millet including its chemical and nutritional studies should be summarized.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Not sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, the language should be heavily edited.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shengwei Sun
Department, University & Country	KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden