

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120911
Title of the Manuscript:	Importance of morphological and nutritional characters of foxtail millet
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is important for the scientific community and is written on a topical topic.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article does not sufficiently reveal the meaning of the morphological features of millet.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides comprehensive information about the content of the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	A scientific article should consist of such sections as: introduction, literature review, methods and objects, results and discussion, conclusions. And it is also necessary to clearly formulate the purpose of the work and then the conclusions. In the review article, it is necessary to indicate the problem and offer options for its solution.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is not clear what the purpose of the work is and what conclusions have been drawn.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The article uses quite new literature.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Serhii Rieznik
Department, University & Country	State Biotechnological University, Ukraine