

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120911</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Importance of morphological and nutritional characters of foxtail millet</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript topic has importance but this manuscript is not written well. Whole manuscript is written with poor writing style and format issue. Data is not sufficient and has issues with authenticity.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title is very simple. It should be written again.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract is not indicated the main theme of manuscript. Whole manuscript has issues with writing style. Data has issues with authenticity.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Not appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Title is very simple. And manuscript has a lot of issues. Whole literature should be searched again and written again.  Introduction are very short and not indicated proper literature. There are big mistakes in format and writing style. It should be re-write.  There is a mistake in citation. Please do proper corrections according to the format.  Each heading section needs to be written again. Layout should be written again.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	Very short number of references.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is very poor. Whole manuscript has writing and format issue. Authors have very basic English ability which is not enough to write a manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Whole manuscript and layout should be written again.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Muzamil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bahauddin Zakariya University, Pakistan</b>