

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Pathogen Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPR_119937 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Occurrence Of Antibiotic Resistant Escherichia Coli in Ready-To-Eat Edible Larvae Sold Along Emuoha Toll Gate East West Road Port Harcourt |
| Type of the Article | Research |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes , the manuscript is important to scientific community, especially to food safety , public health and microbiology</p> <p>I suggest the title to be "Proximate Analysis and Occurrence of Antibiotic-Resistant <i>E. coli</i> in Street-Vended Edible Larvae in Port Harcourt, Nigeria"</p> <p>Fairley comprehensive but it have to be improved by including ; Brief background/rationale , Clear statement of objectives , Concise description of methods , Key results presented quantitatively . Main conclusions and implications. a brief statement about the nutritional value of the larvae and presenting the antibiotic resistance results more clearly would make the abstract more representative of the full study.</p> <p>Yes. . Yes</p> <p>Yes. But more recent reference should be incorporated</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language and English quality of the article is generally understandable, but it falls short of the standard typically expected for scholarly communications. While the core content is comprehensible, the current language quality may hinder effective communication of the research findings. Improving these aspects would significantly enhance the manuscript's suitability for scholarly publication.</p> <p>Author should check spelling, formatting, and tense usage, refine complex sentences and ensure proper definition of all abbreviations at first use.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Mwalimu Raphael Jilani |
| Department, University & Country | Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr Sangunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, Kenya |