

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121537
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF ZERO TILLAGE SAFFLOWER (<i>Carthamus tinctorius</i> L.) UNDER DIFFERENT IRRIGATION SCHEDULES AND NUTRIENT LEVELS IN NORTHERN TELANGANA ZONE (NTZ)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe this research has value, since I could not find similar published studies in India and zero till conditions. The author should however read the guidelines of the journal, since the reference style and some other formats are wrong. Please stick to SI units and English terms!	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but I would add the country's name.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please see my suggestions in the document. The guidelines for authors should be adhered to. Units should be SI and non-English terms should be explained. I would have liked to see the actual results of the interaction, even if none were significant. I found a few papers that reported significant interaction between irrigation and fertiliser of safflower, so the author should have at least given a possible explanation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I believe there should have been more recent articles studied. I found a few on the topic, though maybe not in India or not under zero till. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926669016307014 https://www.ruforum.org/sites/default/files/Safflower%20Manual_BUAN.compressed.pdf	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it needs some editing. See my suggestions. Stick to English terms or explain the non-English terms and SI units Weight and mass are NOT synonyms!.	
Optional/General comments	With some editing, this paper should provide needed information, especially under zero till. It is a pity that carbon sequestering, one of the reasons for switching to zero till, was not measured. It seems that a part of this was AI generated, but the author did not declare it. I will add to my email.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anélia Marais
Department, University & Country	South Africa