

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_121358
Title of the Manuscript:	Microalbuminuria; Risk Predictive Tool and Determinant of Early Treatment in Diabetic Nephropathy
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review aims to reiterate the significance of early detection of microalbuminuria in the early treatment commencement of diabetic nephropathy among patients diagnosed with diabetes mellitus. The authors concluded microalbuminuria should be screened for, in newly and already diagnosed DM patients.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Diabetic nephropathy causes a decline in renal function that leads to renal insufficiency. At this point, therapy is necessary to slow the rate of advancement. Kidney failure and the need for dialysis or kidney transplants can result from renal malfunction if not commenced. The author's suggestion is clear and interesting.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masumi Kamiyama
Department, University & Country	Jumonji University, Japan