

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_120985
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro anti-Plasmodium activities of Delonix regai and Carica paya extracts in combination with chloroquine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title is not suitable. It should reflect the idea to be either to find the synergistic activity or additive property of the extract in combination with chloroquine.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The synergism is not quantified. The synergism must be quantified in the abstract i.e., from which starting combination ratio, the synergism starts to elevate and at which combination ratio, the synergism starts to plateau.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The conclusion is too short. All other subsections are okay.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is not scientifically sound. Like I said earlier it should quantify from which combination ratio, the synergism starts to elevate and at which combination ratio, the synergism starts to plateau. The conclusion is incomplete or missing. The conclusion should mention the dose levels of methanol and ethylacetate fractions used.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are okay but the detailed comparison of the results with the author's research article and references is missing in discussion section.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is fine.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratik Khanal
Department, University & Country	Pokhara University, Nepal