

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJOM_120392</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>APPLICATION OF CYCLIC CODES OVER <math>GF(2)</math> ON ENCRYPTION AND DECRYPTION OF DATA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	According to the proposed work, my observations are.. 1. The idea of entitle work is good, to store large amount of data in grid communication with safe and security. 2. The different parameters were used to encrypt the data.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, It is	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	According to author's information my Observation are... 1. Encryption is ok, but during the decryption, i think some data lost, the author didn't mention those particular information. 2. Should mention both outputs of the proposed work (Encryption & Decryption). 3. Should mention how it's better than previous. (if possible compare )	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes Add more suitable references to understand, mention where these references used in the article,	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it's a good quality to communicate.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	No need	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>G Thippanna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>