

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_121297
Title of the Manuscript:	Case studies on Nurseries Raising of Turmeric var 'Lakadong' in Jaintia Hills Meghalaya India
Type of the Article	Short communication

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is essential for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the cultivation and nursery raising of the renowned Lakadong variety of turmeric in the Jaintia Hills of Meghalaya, India. The findings offer practical and significant contributions to the agricultural community and present a comprehensive and pragmatic approach to enhancing the cultivation practices of this high-quality turmeric variety.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "Case Studies on Nurseries Raising of Turmeric var 'Lakadong' in Jaintia Hills Meghalaya India" seems suitable as it accurately reflects the focus and content of the manuscript. It effectively communicates the specific area of study and the targeted crop variety, clearly indicating the research scope.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the study's objectives, methodology, and key findings related to the nursery raising of Lakadong turmeric in the Jaintia Hills of Meghalaya, India. It effectively summarizes the research, including the use of Agro-shade net nurseries, the specific models used, and key outcomes related to seedling development and field performance. There is no apparent need for adding or deleting points in this section, as the abstract concisely covers the essential aspects of the study.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript's subsections and structure appear appropriate and well-organized. The precise delineation of sections such as "Abstract," "Introduction," and "Methodology" effectively presents the study's background, objectives, and detailed research methodology. Each subsection seems to be logically structured and contributes to the overall cohesiveness of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through its robust methodology, which includes specific details about the cultivation and nursery raising of the Lakadong variety of turmeric. The study incorporates scientific principles and methods to investigate the impact of different nursery models on seedling development, displaying technical soundness. Including specific data related to sprouting rates, seedling growth, and field performance enhances the manuscript's scientific robustness, making it a valuable contribution to the field of agriculture and cultivation practices.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are sufficient and recent, contributing to the credibility and reliability of the research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Pictures should represent the author, and pictures should have the presence of the author or researcher under which or by which this study is held (At least one picture).</p> <p>Minor Grammatical correction is needed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the article effectively communicates its research findings and has the potential to meaningfully impact the cultivation practices of high-quality turmeric varieties.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krup Vasavda
Department, University & Country	J S Ayurveda College, India