

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_120895
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of organic substrates on growth, yield and bioactive compound of black ginger (<i>Kaempferia parviflora</i>) cultivated using soilless culture
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Respected Editor of the Journal-Asian Research Journal Of Agriculture,</p> <p>It is my indeed honor to review the article for the prestigious journal "Asian Research Journal Of Agriculture". After the careful observation of the revised manuscript entitled "Effects of organic substrates on growth, yield and bioactive compound of black ginger (Kaempferia parviflora) cultivated using soilless culture" with manuscript ID- 2024_ARJA_120895" the following comments are mentioned.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This Agriculture research article is finely quantized with multidimensional screening. 2. Soilless cultivation in different proportions of cocopeat and burnt paddy husk was well examined. 3. It seems the study was conducted for the one-crop duration since the conditions vs crop yield were examined. 4. Manuscript constructed is of application-oriented and field survey-based with authentic observations. <p>Hence, I wish for the acceptance of the communicated manuscript for publication in the privileged journal "Asian Research Journal Of Agriculture".</p> <p>Your's Sincerely,</p> <p>Dr. Kavitha Kotthireddy</p>	

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavitha Kotthireddy
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India