

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRDES_120565</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>BLAU SYNDROME: UNDERSTANDING THE RARE AUTOINFLAMMATORY DISORDER</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it provides novel insights for improving the prognosis of Blau syndrome patients, The thorough methodology and comprehensive data analysis add robustness to the findings, making them reliable and impactful. I appreciate the manuscript's attention to detail and its contribution to understand the autoinflammatory disorder. However, certain areas like detailed reasoning could benefit from further clarification or additional experiments to strengthen the overall conclusions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it's suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates a high level of understanding about Blau syndrome The results do not include data analysis and limitation of case study. The discussion effectively integrates current literature, highlighting the manuscript's contribution to the field and confirming its technical soundness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, they are sufficient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it's suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Warda Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muhammad Nawaz Sharif University of Agriculture, Pakistan</b>