

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_120251
Title of the Manuscript:	Quasars and Expansion of the Space-time
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The evolution process of the universe is being studied. The space-time expansion is brought about by dark energy. The observable physical processes should not be expected to be precisely same. Therefore since the compact sources are generally sub-galactic in dimensions they are affected more by their denser ambient media.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The energy in the substance of the free-space (or vacuum) which causes this expansion has been referred to by authors as dark energy. It has been plausibly assumed to be an essential property of the space-time. However, as it is now, very little is known about dark energy. The attempt given by authors on particular space-time expansion and hence, supports the concept of dark energy – whose energy density has been observed to be constant as the universe undergoes rapid expansion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	It's a good work for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Paul Divakar
Department, University & Country	YVNR Govt. Degree College, Krishna University, India