

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJR2P_120251</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Quasars and Expansion of the Space-time</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an observation evaluation and could be of interest to the science community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive abstarct	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate structure	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an article about the interpretation of observational data. It uses accepted methods and doesn't extract strange conclusions. As such it is not proposing new theories and is not expected to do so.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	No comments on references	
Minor REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	My built-in spelling checker flagged a few words. Maybe a spelling review could be helpful.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This article describes the interpretation of observations in relation to the standard accepted explanation of cosmological expansion. The validity of that explanation is thus not subject of judgement for the reviewer.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Paul Gradenwitz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wu Yi University, China</b>