

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_120695
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of chitinous wastes of economically important crustaceans and molluscs in Nigeria coastal water for improved fish production
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. See below	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-structured and clear. However, the findings of the paper are not presented clearly, for example, details of the processing methods, and utilizations, so that the reader could not realize the importance of this review. I recommend less introductory parts, and extended results and discussions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of the manuscript emphasizes on the utilization of chitinous wastes "in Nigeria" which could be a valuable regional report on the subject. This aim is followed up to section 3, which ends with a list of economically valuable animals. From this point, the reader expects to see what is going with these processed and product "in Nigeria". Unfortunately, the rest of the manuscript is a detailed description of the methods, and various implications of chitosan, generally. This is practically a text to introduce chitosan, which can be found everywhere, without any new knowledge, without any analysis, and has no point of attraction. I recommend the authors to turn to their main aim and focus: the situation in Nigeria. How it is dealt with there, by which of the methods, which implications, how much production, what secondary products, etc. but "in Nigeria".	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehrdad Zamanpoore
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research, Education, and Extension Organization, Iran