

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_120858
Title of the Manuscript:	Study in Efficacy of Ayurvedic Panchakarma Therapy with Lifestyle Modification in Management of Patients with Inducible Ischemia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study may be significant in view of the mortality and morbidity associated with ischemia and other cardiovascular diseases, and the high cost and side-effects of conventional drugs used for ischemia patients. Also, integrative therapy with Ayurveda herbs and allied treatments can indeed have restorative and curative effects.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Alternatively, the authors may use a shorter title: 'Efficacy of Ayurveda-based Ischemia Reversal Program in Management of Patients with Inducible Ischemia'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Rephrase the methods section within the Abstract and avoid repetitive words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the Material and Methods section. Is it a prospective, observational study or retrospective study? 2. Abbreviations used in the manuscript for the first time like GHAd decoction must be written in full form and scientific names of the herbs must be mentioned. Also, the details of lifestyle modifications/ diet kit are not clear. 3. Discussion needs more elaborate interpretation. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Table 5 has no mention in the text. Was the dependency of allopathic medicines actually found to be reduced? The authors have neither mentioned nor given any evidence. Was there 100% compliance to study therapy? Was the safety of the Panchakarma procedure and any adverse effects investigated?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, however, some grammatical errors in the manuscript must be addressed.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bharti Chaudhry
Department, University & Country	Ramjas College, University of Delhi, India