

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_120923</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Energy Efficiency And Conservation Of Hotels In Ghana: A Systematic Review Article</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Systematic Review</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is a review on energy efficiency and conversation of hotels in Ghana. It is simply a review of three articles. Not of much importance to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. It is not a systematic review. Only three papers are considered for review. Abstract is about those three papers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. It is about hotels or house consumers? It should have proper headings and sub headings.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I don't think this manuscript is scientifically robust.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Format of references are not same (For example, see reference 33, 34). Please follow same format. Same reference is repeated twice (see reference 17, 18). Carelessness in formatting etc. is seen in references.	

### Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No quality and English of the article is not suitable for scholarly communication. Improvement is required. Even see the sentences in abstract "According to Boateng's study, on green hotel development.", your sentence is not even complete. Similarly, see the sentence "According to Appiah and her colleagues study". It should be colleagues' study. Another sentence, "One of the operating expenditures that may be readily managed is the cost of energy utilities, which is the reason why this is the case (5)." This is the case?</p> <p>Another one: "According to the results of the study that Boateng conducted on the topic of conserving resources. According to the research that has been conducted, hotels use more energy than any other kind of accommodation facility (27)."</p> <p>You need to improve English, Grammer, sentence structure, otherwise I won't recommend its publication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>If the authors can improve English only then this paper can be published. There are way too many mistakes. Authors need to read their manuscript very carefully. Further it is just a review of three papers. Generally, more literature must be included to at least propose steps for energy conservation. Literature (more review articles) from other countries must be included to consider their practices.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kiran Khurshid
Department, University & Country	National University of Sciences and Technology, Pakistan