

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAEES_121089</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Socio-economic analysis of Milk Producers of district Ghazipur of Uttar Pradesh</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Is article could have been very important in understanding the socio-economic condition of buffalo milk producers in Ghazipur district if done properly but this article contains very few information about the socio-economic status of milk producer in the Ghazipur district of Uttar Pradesh.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, Socio-economic analysis of buffalo Milk Producers of Ghazipur district of Uttar Pradesh	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is inconsistency in abstract writing. At one place author write that 50 respondent from each category i.e., small, medium and large category of farmers has been selected while at other place he writes that 76 respondent from small scale, 43 respondent from medium scale and 31 respondents from large scale farmers were selected.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The presented manuscript is not scientifically correct because author has use chi-square test on such parameter where it has no meaning like respondents having desi bufaloes and murreh bufaloes, distribution of respondents according to their age, etc.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the reference given in the script have not used while writing the script.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No,	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The article is inconsistent in its writing. The information provided in this article is very limited and provide incomplete information about the socio-economic condition of milk producer in Ghazipur district of Uttar Pradesh. It does not provide any information about the production and productivity of milk of buffalo and cost, return and marketing of milk by sampled households.</p> <p>Author provides more information about the respondents like land holding, production &amp; productivity of milk of sampled buffalo, cost and return from milk production and marketing of milk by sampled households.</p>	

## Review Form 3

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sunil Kumar Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Late Dr. RCSD College of Agricultural &amp; Research Station, India</b>