

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_120749</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>BANANA SUPPLY CHAIN IN NAVI MUMBAI</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that the article provides significant findings in the scientific community. I believe that Navi Mumbai is a significant hub for the banana trade, with large markets having a crucial role in the local economy. Understanding the supply chain helps in comprehending the economic impact on local traders, farmers, and businesses. Knowing the supply chain dynamics aids in stabilizing prices by addressing issues like supply gluts or shortages, which can affect both farmers' incomes and consumer prices. With this, policymakers can use insights from the supply chain to create better policies that support farmers, traders, and consumers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. That title is concise and suitable enough for the article's context.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive enough. However, the last sentence of the abstract seems out of place. I suggest to transfer it before the concluding phrases together with the economic data in the middle of the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction was well made and stated the context of the study's purpose. Objectives needed to be revised. Instead of bulletized format, this should be in paragraph form or if I may suggest should be incorporated as a paragraph at the end of the introduction.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the methodology, please provide sources/references of the analytical tools/formulas (marketing cost, marketing margin, price spread, market potential). This is crucial to scientifically verify correctness of the methods employed. Nevertheless, the data presented is technically sound and well-spread. Discussion was concise and well-referenced as well.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References cited is sufficient and recent enough given the context of the article.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language quality was suitable enough for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bryan Glomel S. Silverio</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of the Philippines, Philippines</b>