

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_121711</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>"The Impact of Educational Leadership on Student Achievement: A Comparative Study of Urban and Rural Schools"</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is essential and significant in the scientific field. It addresses critical issues that are overlooked when looking at the impact of educational leadership to enhance quality in the classroom and also the impact in makes to the student performance. This influence has been shown that it can vary significantly between urban and rural schools due to differences in resources, community involvement, and challenges faced by each setting. Hence, the study deserves the attention, and the contribution is welcomed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, I think it is perfect	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, but I would like to see the criteria the researcher used to select respondents and why did the researcher choose SPSS to collect data? The reason for the choose is not enough, he/she must add substantial explanation why it became her/his choice.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is perfectly structured	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is scientifically structured and correct, because it addressed satisfactory the problem of the study and came up with significant findings that can contribute to the field. The choice of approach and using the correct methodology make the research comprehensive and scientifically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The refences are fine and recent. They are actually relevant to the study and great choice due to their recent studies that are identical to the research.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is great, and the paper has been proofread by a grammarian.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
	The researcher has done a great job, and many education administrators will benefit from the contribution.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nkosinathi Emanuel Mdletshe
Department, University & Country	Ellen G. White Research Centre, South Africa