

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_120787 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Ozonated water decreases in vitro contamination at dental implant interface in different connections |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript is well written and covers an important topic. Peri-implant mucositis is a very common problem encountered in everyday practise. Hence this study was thrown light on decontamination of implant abutment by using ozonated water. Coming to results, it is not explained properly. Use of ANNOVA is not included in the result section. Also more tables and graphs can be included. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, abstract is comprehensive | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | No, results and discussion should be separately explained. | |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Scientifically this manuscript has well explained the importance of using a sterile implant. This manuscript has explained and proved scientifically that ozonated implant abutment are better. And this can be applied in day to day practise. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | yes | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | yes | |
| Optional/General comments | I suggest explain results in more details with addition of tables and graphs. Also separate results and discussion | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Ankita Gupta |
| Department, University & Country | Desh Bhagat Dental College, India |