

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120947
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Isolation and Characterization of Biofloculant-Producing Bacteria from Wastewater in Sokoto Metropolis, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset using cutting-edge methodology. The paper is generally well-written and structured. However, I think the paper has some shortcomings regarding some data text. It is preferable to make an application for some strains isolated from wastewater to clarify their importance more.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the article title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the Conclusion needs to be summarized.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is useful for researchers/ Academics for the study of Biofloculant-producing bacteria isolated from wastewater.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	No, some references need to be updated.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some sentences need revision.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Thank you for submitting your manuscript titled "Study on Isolation and Characterization of Biofloculant-Producing Bacteria from Wastewater in Sokoto Metropolis, Nigeria" for review. As a reviewer, I have carefully evaluated your work and provided detailed comments and suggestions. While reviewing the manuscript, I encountered a few sections where additional clarification would be beneficial.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hagar Fathy Saad Abdellatif Mohamed Forsan
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Centre (ARC), Egypt