

Study on Isolation and Characterization of Bioflocculant-Producing Bacteria from Wastewater in Sokoto Metropolis, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Aim: This study aimed to isolate, identify and characterize bacteria capable of producing bioflocculants from wastewater in Sokoto Metropolis using microbiological and biochemical techniques.

Study Design: Serial dilution technique was used for the isolation of bacteria from the samples. 1ml of each of the collected wastewater samples was serially diluted from 10^{-1} to 10^{-6} into different test tubes to reduce concentration of cells.

Place and Duration of Research: This research was carried out at the laboratory of Department of Microbiology, at Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto Nigeria which lasted for about three months.

Sample collection: Waste water samples were collected from Nagarta College Area (A), Gidan Igwai Area (B), and Gidan Dare Area (C) using a sterilized syringe 10 ml capacity each then transported to the Laboratory, Department of Microbiology Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto Nigeria for further analysis.

Methodology: Bioflocculant-producing bacteria were isolated from wastewater through serial dilutions, inoculation, microbial count, and growth on YPG medium. Bioflocculant activity was assessed spectrophotometrically.

Results: Out of the seven bacteria isolated and screened for bioflocculant production, five demonstrated significant flocculating activity. These strains were further identified as various rod-shaped bacteria species, including *Bacillus sp.*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella sp.*, and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Notably, *Klebsiella sp.* exhibited the highest flocculating activity, reaching an impressive rate of 48.55%. It was followed by *B. subtilis* with a flocculating activity of 25.21%, *P. aeruginosa* at 24.97%, *S. aureus* at 15.90%, and *Bacillus sp.* with 1.06%.

Conclusion: This study highlights the promising potential of these identified rod-shaped bacteria species for bioflocculant production. The significant flocculating activity demonstrated by these bacteria indicates their suitability for application in wastewater treatment and their ability to contribute to the resolution of various environmental issues. Further research and optimization are needed to fully realize the benefits of these strains in bioflocculant production and their widespread deployment in wastewater treatment, and industrial process of water treatment and bioremediation.

Keywords: Biofloculants, Wastewater treatment, Bacterial isolation and characterization, Bioremediation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bioflocculation refers to a process in which mediation of flocculants is achieved in the presence of microorganisms or biodegradable macromolecular flocculants released by microorganisms [1]. Microorganisms like bacteria or fungi produce biofloculants that interact with suspended particles, causing them to aggregate [2]. This aggregation simplifies the separation of solid particles from the liquid phase, facilitating processes such as wastewater treatment [2],[3].

Biofloculants are extracellular biodegradable, non-toxic and environmentally friendly substances produced by diverse microorganisms [4]. The diversity of these products plays an important role and implication for the dynamics of organic and inorganic matter in varied ecosystems [3]. Bacteria and plants are recognized sources of biofloculants, with plants being directly employed for their biofloculating properties [5]. Various sources which includes soil, activated sludge, and palm mill effluents, wastes water [6], have been utilized for the production of biofloculants [6]. Biofloculant synthesis is influenced by the type of carbon source, such as sucrose, glucose, lactose, and others {2],[5],[6]). Toxic and polluted material from the waste water must be well treated or removed before the water is release for different uses in our environment [7]. Although, separation techniques have been widely adopted in most factories to recover suspended solid materials in waste water, the process is however time consuming and the separation efficiency is low. Consequently, the need for the use of biological flocculants which appear to be gaining global acceptance over chemical flocculants [8],[9],[10],[11]. This study was designed to isolate and characterize biofloculant-producing bacteria from wastewater. The ultimate goal was to contribute to the development of sustainable, eco-friendly and effective biofloculants for wastewater treatment and industrial applications.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sample Processing

Waste water samples were collected from Nagarta College Area (A), Gidan Igwai Area (B), and Gidan Dare Area (C) using a sterile syringe of 10ml capacity each then transported to Department of Microbiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Research Laboratories of Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto (UDUS) for further analysis.

2.2. Media composition and Biofloculant production

The composition of the medium for biofloculant production was as follows: Glucose 3.00 g, KH_2PO_4 0.30 g, K_2HPO_4 0.75 g, $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ 0.030 g, NaCl 0.015 g, Urea 0.075 g and Yeast extract 0.075 g in 150ml of deionized water with initial of pH 6.5 [12]. 5ml bacterial suspension was prepared in accordance to 0.5 McFarland standards [13]. Batch fermentations were carried out for 5days in 250 ml flasks on rotary shaker (120 rpm) at 35°C which contained 15 ml of production medium per 2 ml of bacterial suspension. The cell-free supernatants were obtained after centrifugation for 15mins at 3500 rpm to determine the flocculation activity of the products.

2.3 Isolation of Biofloculant-Producing Bacteria

Serial dilution technique was used for the isolation of bacteria [14]. 1ml of each of the collected wastewater samples was serially diluted from 10^{-1} to 10^{-6} into different test tubes to reduce concentration of cells. Isolation of biofloculant-producing microorganisms was carried out using an agar plate culture containing YPG medium according to method described by [15]. The composition of medium; peptone 2.0 g, yeast extract 1.0 g, glucose 2.0 g and agar 1.50 g per 100ml of deionized water at pH 6.5. The serially diluted wastes water samples were then pour plated on YPG media and incubated for a period of 24 hours at 37 °C for the isolation.

2.4 Identification of Isolates

Biofloculant-producing microorganisms were originally identified based microbiological observations such as colony morphology in various culture media and grams staining and they were also subjected to various biochemical tests for confirmation [16]. The tests include starch hydrolysis test, triple sugar ion test, they were further tested for indole production, Methyl red test, Voges-proskauer test, citrate utilization, urease test, oxidase test, catalase tests [17],[18],[19].

2.5 Screening for biofloculant-production

Biofloculant-producing microorganisms were originally screened based on colony morphology [15], biochemical tests and flocculating activities [20]

2.6 Determination of flocculating activity

The flocculating activity was determined from the cell-free supernatants according the method described by Ugbenyenet *al.*, 2018 [20] with modifications. Kaolin clay suspension was prepared using 4.0 g in 1.0 L of distilled water. A mixture of 9.5 ml of kaolin suspension with 0.3 ml of 1.0% calcium chloride (CaCl₂) solution and 2.0% (v/v) cell-free supernatant was prepared. The mixed solution was vigorously agitated and left to settle at room temperature for 5mins. The optical density (OD) of the obtained clarified solutions was determined via spectrophotometry at 550 nm. A control sample was prepared in the same way, except the cell-free supernatant was replaced with unfermented broth media. The flocculating activity was calculated using the following expression [20].

$$\text{Flocculating activity (\%)} = (A_c - B_s)/A_c \times 100$$

Where, A_c and B_s represent the Optical Density (OD) at 550 nm of the control (A_c) and real samples (B_s), respectively.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Physical parameters of wastewater samples collected

The physical parameters of the water samples (Table 1) the samples were turbid and exhibited variations in pH, temperature, and colour.

Table 1: Physical parameters of the Wastewater Samples collected

SAMPLE	COLOUR	TEMPERATURE	Ph	APPERANCE
--------	--------	-------------	----	-----------

A (Nagarta Collage Area)	Whites	36	7.40	Turbid
B (Gidanlgwai Area)	Dark	29	6.98	Turbid
C (Gidan Dare Area)	Off-white	32	6.42	Less turbid

3.2 Gram Staining and Characteristics of the Colony of Isolates

Table 2 shows a total of seven (7) bacterial isolates after screening on YPG medium agar plates. The colonies were sub-cultured on freshly prepared nutrient agar plates where the results of gram staining reaction and colony characteristics were reported as Rod-shaped Gram positives (A1, A3, and B2) and some others as Rod-shape Gram negatives (A2, B1, B3 and C).

Table 2: Gram Staining and Characteristics of the Colony of Isolates

Isolate	Gram Staining	Morphology
A	+	Rod shape, Chain
A ₂	-	Short Rod
A ₃	+	Rod shape
B	-	Short Rod
B ₂	+	Rod and
B ₃	-	Short Rod
C	-	Short Rod

3.3 Biochemical tests identification

The biochemical characteristics of the isolates (Table 3) the organisms were identified based on their various responses to different biochemical tests as (A) *Bacillus sp.*, (A₂) *Enterobacter sp.*, (A₃) *Bacillus subtilis* (B) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, (B₂) *Bacillus sp.*, (B₃) *Klebsiella sp.* and (C) *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Table 3: Biochemical tests identification

Sample	G/R	Mpg	Glu	Suc	Lac	Gas	Stch	Cat	MR	VP	Ind	Cit	OX	Ur	H ₂ S	Organism
A	+	Rod Chain	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	<i>Bacillus sp. 1</i>
A ₂	-	Short Rod	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	<i>Enterobacter sp.</i>
A ₃	+	Rod	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>
B	-	Short Rod	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
B ₂	+	Rod	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	<i>Bacillus sp. 2</i>
B ₃	-	Shot Rod	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	<i>Klebsiella sp.</i>
C	-	Short Rod	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>

Key; + = Presence, - = Negative G/R= Gram Reaction, MPG= Morphology, GLU=Glucose, SUC=Sucrose, LAC=Lactose, STCH=Starch, CAT=Catalase, MR= Methylene Red, VP = Voges-Proskauer, IND=Indole, CIT=Citrate, OX=Oxidase, UR=Urease, H₂S=Hydrogen sulphide.

3.4: Flocculation activity of the bioflocculant-producing bacteria

The bioflocculating activity of bioflocculant-producing bacteria isolated from wastewater samples (Table 4) indicated that the flocculation activity varied among the isolates, *Klebsiella sp.* exhibited the highest flocculating activity, reaching an impressive rate of 48.55%. It was followed by *B. subtilis* with a flocculating activity of 25.21%, *P. aeruginosa* at 24.97%, *S. aureus* at 15.90%, and lastly, *Bacillus sp.* with the least effective flocculating activity of 1.06%.

Table 4: Flocculation activity of the bioflocculant-producing bacteria

Isolates	Bioflocculant-Producing Bacteria	Flocculating Activity (%)
A	<i>Bacillus sp. 1</i>	1.060
A ₂	<i>Enterobacter sp.</i>	ND
A ₃	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	25.21
B	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	24.97
B ₂	<i>Bacillus sp. 2</i>	ND
B ₃	<i>Klebsiella sp.</i>	48.52
C	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	15.90

Key: ND = Not detected

4. DISCUSSION

In this study seven (7) bacterial strains were isolated from the three (3) wastewater samples, selected based on the mucoid characteristic exhibited by individual colonies. All isolates were identified as rod-shaped bacterial strains based on morphological characteristics and biochemical tests, with three (3) strains being Gram-positive and the remaining four (4) strains Gram-negative, as determined through gram reactions. This was consistent with the studies of [20],[21], which report the identification of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bioflocculant-producing bacteria.

Flocculation activity assessed based on kaolin flocculation rates revealed, *Klebsiella sp.* as the most active with a remarkable bioflocculant activity. This finding aligns with previous studies of [21],[22] reporting high flocculation activity by *Klebsiella sp.* *Bacillus subtilis* strains

exhibited notable positive impacts on flocculation, with different strains demonstrating varied activities. These results build on the existing evidence of [23], whom identified more than 34 *Bacillus* strains.

Contrary to exiting studies by [24], *Bacillus sp. 2* and *Enterobacter sp.* showed the absence of bioflocculation activity, indicating potential challenges or strain variations, may be as a result of agitation of culture medium, temperature, pH and other factors affecting bioflocculant production

Pseudomonas aeruginosa exhibited significant flocculation activity [25]. *Staphylococcus aureus* exhibited moderate flocculation activity compared to other isolates. Similarly, this was consistent with prior research findings of [26].

5. CONCLUSION

The results of this study highlight the promising potential of these identified rod-shaped bacteria species for bioflocculant production. The significant flocculating activity demonstrated by these bacteria indicates their suitability for application in wastewater treatment and their ability to contribute to the resolution of various environmental issues.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zhong C, Cao G, Rong K, Xia Z, Peng T, Chen H, Zhou J. Characterization of a microbial polysaccharide-based bioflocculant and its anti-inflammatory and pro-coagulant activity. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 2018; 161:636-644.
- [2] Guo H, Hong C, Zheng B, Lü F, Jiang D, Qin W. Bioflocculants' production in a biomass-degrading bacterium using untreated corn stover as carbon source and use of bioflocculants for microalgae harvest. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 2017; 10:306.
- [3] Srivastava A, Seo S, Ko S, Ahn C, Oh H. Bioflocculation in natural and engineered systems: current perspectives. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 2018; 38(8):1176-1194.
- [4] Kharat A, Ganger S, Patil S. *Extraction of exopolysaccharide from Acinetobacterbaumannii strain VESASC-ASC and its application in sewage treatment*. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 2019; 16(5):621-629
- [5] Bahniuk MS, Alidina F, Tan X, Unsworth LD. The last 25 years of research on for kaolin flocculation with recent trends and technical challenges for the future bioflocculants. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 2022; 10:1-24.

- [6] Shahadat M, Teng TT, Rafatullah M, Shaikh Z, Sreekrishnan T, Ali SW. Bacterial biofloculants: A review of recent advances and perspectives. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2017; 328:1139-1152.
- [7] Prasertsan P, Dermlim W, Doelle H, Kennedy JF. Screening, characterization and Flocculating property of carbohydrate polymer from newly isolated *Enterobacter cloacae* WD7. *Carbohydrate Polymer*. 2006; 66:289-97.
- [8] Dearfield KL, Abernathy CO, Ottley MS, Brantner JH, Hayes P.F. Acrylamide: Its metabolism, developmental and reproductive effects, genotoxicity and carcinogenicity. *Mutation Research*, 1988; 195:45-77.
- [9] Arezoo, C. The potential role of aluminium in Alzheimer's disease. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplant*; 2002; 17:17-20.
- [10] Li XM, Yang Q, Huang K, Zheng GM, Xiao DX, Li, JJ, *et al.* Screening and characterization of a biofloculant produced by *Aeromonas* sp. *Bioremediation and Environmental Science*. 2007; 20:274-278.
- [11] Shih IL, Van YT. The production of poly-(gamma-glutamic acid) from microorganisms and its various applications. *Bioresource Technology*. 2001; 79:207-25.
- [12] Wang Z, Wang K, Xie Y, Yao Y. Studies on biofloculant-producing microorganisms. *Acta Microbiologica Sinica*, 1995; 35(2):121-129.
- [13] Chika. PREPARATION OF 0.5 McFARLAND TURBIDITY STANDARDS – Everything Microbiology. *Microbiology Class*. 2023, August 28; https://microbiologyclass.net/preparation-of-0-5-mcfarland-turbidity-standards/#google_vignette
- [14] Prakash M, Vasistha S. Wastewater-Mediated Microalgae Isolation and Culturing Techniques. In *Springer eBooks*. 2023; 7-17.
- [15] Chen M, Zhao L. *Wei sheng wuxuebao = Acta microbiologica Sinica*, 2023; 43(3):366-371.
- [16] Savadori P, Dalfino S, Piazzoni M, Parrini M, Del Fabbro M, Tartaglia GM, Giardino L. A simplified method for detecting Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria in dental histological samples: A preliminary and comparative study. *Acta Histochemica*, 2023; 125(1):151-192.
- [17] Cheesbrough M. Biochemical tests to identify bacteria. In; laboratory practice in Tropical countries, Cambridge 2nd Edition, 2000.
- [18] Oyeleke, SB, Manga BS. Essentials of laboratory Practical's in Microbiology (1st edition), *Tobest Publishers*; 2008;56-76.

- [19] Chauhan A, Jindal T. Biochemical and Molecular Methods for Bacterial Identification. In *Springer eBooks* 2020; 425-468.
- [20] Ugbenyen AM, Simonis JJ, Basson, AK. Screening for Bioflocculant-Producing Bacteria from the Marine Environment of Sodwana Bay, South Africa. *Scienco*. 2018; 3(1):16-20.
- [21] Yin YJ, Tian ZM, Tang W, Li L, Song L, McElmurry SP. Production and characterization of high efficiency bioflocculant isolated from *Klebsiella sp.* ZZ-3. *Bioresource Technology*, 2014; 171:336-342.
- [22] Yue L, Ma C, Chi Z. Bioflocculant produced by *Klebsiella sp.* MYC and its application in the treatment of oil-field produced water. *Journal of Ocean University of China*, 2006; 5(4):333-338.
- [23] Alias J, Hasan HA, Abdullah SRS, Othman AR. Properties of bioflocculant-producing bacteria for high flocculating activity efficiency. *Environmental Technology and Innovation*, 2022; 27:1-10.
- [24] Muthulakshmi L, Nellaiah H, Kathiresan T, Rajin N, Christopher F. Identification and production of bioflocculants by *Enterobacter sp.* and *Bacillus sp.* and their characterization studies. *Preparative Biochemistry & Biotechnology*, 2017; 47(5):458-467.
- [25] Pathak M, Devi A, Sarma HK, Lal B. Application of bioflocculating property of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain IASST201 in treatment of oil-field formation water. *Journal of Microbiology*, 2014; 54(7):658-669.
- [26] Kasan NA, Said SM, Ghazali NA, Hashim NFC, Ibrahim Z, Amin NM. Application of Bioflocculant in Aquaculture: An Evaluation of Flocculating Activity of Selected Bacteria from Bioflocculant. In *Microbiology monographs* 2015; 165-182.