

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_121336
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect Of Direct Health Facility Financing On Enrollment Into Health Insurance In Dodoma Region, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is relevant for national development in the area of health. Relevant recommendations were given from the findings, which when adopted will improve the health service of the population.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The topic is very suitable and relevant for national development.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is Ok, however, the researcher must add the research approach and design used for the study. Kindly take note that the research design (mixed method) stated in the research is not appropriate. Kindly use the appropriate research design as suggested in this report and include it in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Health issues are very relevant for every nation. Using qualitative data provides a researcher with indepth opinions with "full" explanation and suggestions. Scientifically and technically the study is satisfactorily written. However, the researcher dd not go according to methods and materials in the analysis section. It is expected that the quantitative approach will be used to analyse the report, after with the qualitative data will interpret the report (Sequential Mixed method design). The quantitative aspect was poorly done. Using excel for the analysis is just not enough. What did the researcher use to analyse? Regression or what? This must be stated in the work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	For sufficiency, No! some of the cited works are not in the reference list. Example Gale, N.K et al, 2013 is not in the reference list and this is not appropriate. Again, the researcher must read wide to provide more citations and references. For recent references, Yes!. With the exception of about two references that have 2010 and 2013, about 90% of the references are recent (within the last 6 years)	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Not Applicable	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Emmanuel Yaw Arhin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Cape Coast, Ghana</b>