

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_121173</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Characterization of diverse carrot (<i>Daucus carota</i> L) genotypes using long noncoding RNA-based microsatellite markers</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This journal is a very dynamic for the published of future research of the all scientist and researchers.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Title of the article, as per research is well good.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Abstract:</b> Dear Authors with key address details should be write there as per research paper format. The need should be written here in after first line mention to select of 27 genotypes of carrot, was grown in field or in which used of design in the field experiment at which placed or direct collected of genotypes and used of markers which characters are best performance in used for the markers in carrot genotypes with should be finding here RNA characters in which used of markers were best performance of characters of phenotypes.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Introduction:</b> Dear researcher, I have suggested to write to correction in the introduction, last paragraph should be right on starting to paragraph. I have said that should be mentioned as per objects &amp; finding the hypothetical parameters which yields parameters as per your research which need to be moderate. <b>Material and Methods:</b> The collected of the data as per your research, needed to add in hypothetical methods along with qualitative parameters to isolate to use of markers and primers sequences, analysis as per formula should be moderate in material and methods. <b>Results and Discussions:</b> The researcher should be written there justified as per formatting of respective publication (JABB). Dear authors, finding here in the results and discussion, should be not mentioned to as per results finding to be supported studied, I have suggested that write the need to finding herein the R/ D paragraph as per recorded to data. The recorded data in the listed of tabulation, we not see the finding, need to moderate. <b>Conclusion &amp; future outlook are corrected as per studies.</b> <b>References are to check and moderate as per your finding.</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><b>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound will very benefited of researchers.</b></p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>-</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need to minor correction as per my suggestions.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This research well good for future breeding purposed and will improved of new varieties.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Arvind Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AISECT University, India</b>