

Impact of leaf -age on economic traits of mulberry silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.)
EFFECT OF DIFFERENT MATURITY MULBERRY LEAVES ON ECONOMIC
TRAITS OF BIVOLTINE SILKWORM (*Bombyx mori* L.)

Formatted: Centered

ABSTRACT

Feeding the silkworm with tender mulberry leaves resulted in a significantly higher values for cocoon shell ratio (19.21 per centpercent), single cocoon weight (1.94 g), single shell weight (0.37 g), the highest larval weight of ten mature larvae (38.82 g), highest cocoon yield per 1000 larvae (18.68 kg), longest filament length (922.33 m), heaviest filament length (0.28 g), highest denier (2.76 g), lowest disease incidence (1.30 per centpercent) and the highest effective rate of rearing (98.40 per centpercent) than those silkworms reared on either medium, or coarse, and mixed leaves.

KEY WORDSKEYWORDS:- Cocoon shell ratio, Single cocoon weight, Single shell weight, Larval weight, Cocoon yield, Filament length, Denier, Disease incidence, Effective rate of rearing.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

INTRODUCTION

The development of silkworm larvae and the economic characteristics of cocoons are significantly influenced by the nutritional quality of the mulberry leaves they consume (Krishnaswami, 1978). *Bombyx mori* L. predominantly consumes mulberry leaves and exhibits a preference for leaves at various maturity levels, such as tender, medium, and mature, depending on its larval stage (Sarkar, 2020). Chemical analysis of these leaves reveals notable differences in terms of crude protein, total sugar, starch, reducing sugar, total carbohydrates, fiber, ash, and moisture content (Rahmathulla et al., 2003). Benchamin and

Jolly (1986) noted that silkworm larvae show a preference for leaves with high moisture content and lower dry matter. Previous research has consistently highlighted the nutritional richness of top tender leaves compared to medium, matured, and over-matured leaves, emphasizing their lower pubescence density and blunt tip (Rangaswami et al., 1976; Sinha et al., 1993; Bongale et al., 1997; Trivedi et al., 2008).

Comment [GN1]: This part of the sentence does not have relevance to the previous part of the same sentence. Please rephrase.

Formatted: Highlight

The quantity and quality of these leaves play a pivotal role in silk productivity, with a direct correlation to environmental factors (Nagaraju, 2002). Mulberry leaves containing more water, total sugar, soluble carbohydrate, and less mineral are best relished by silkworms. Nutritive requirements of silkworm larvae vary with the maturity of leaves fed. Silkworms require leaves of high moisture content as it is easy to digest, while late-age silkworms require mature leaves with less moisture content as they have the strength to digest mature leaves (Koyuncu, 2004; Sabhat et al., 2016).

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN2]: Please include a reference.

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN3]: Incomprehensible. Please rephrase.

Comment [GN4]: Immature larvae? Please modify.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN5]: Please state it scientifically. Whether it is due to stronger mandibles or better digestive ability.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during monsoon 2020-21 at the Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Latur under Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra to study the effect of different maturity mulberry (Variety V-1) leaves of different ages on the biology and economic traits of bivoltine silkworm (*B. mori* L.). It was conducted in randomized block design with seven treatments and three replications using FC₂ X FC₁ bivoltine double hybrid silkworm. Effect The effect of different maturity mulberry leaves on the biology and economic traits of bivoltine silkworm (*B. mori* L.) was studied. One hundred silkworm larvae derived from disease-free layings were reared in each replication. The disease free layings were used to feed on the leaves of mulberry variety V-1. The For defining different age groups of leaves, mulberry branches

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN6]: Redundant. Please delete.

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN7]: What the temperature and RH of the rearing room?

were divided into three regions, namely top tender (high moisture 75-80%), middle medium (moisture content 65-75%), and bottom coarse leaves (low moisture 60-65%).

The treatments included details are as follows the treatment-T₁ is feeding with the larval feed of tender leaves, the treatment-T₂ is feeding with the larval feed of medium leaves, the treatment-T₃ is feeding with the larval feed of coarse leaves, the treatment-T₄ is feeding with the larval feed of tender + medium leaves, the treatment-T₅ is feeding with the larval feed of tender + coarse leaves, the treatment-T₆ is feeding with the larval feed of medium + coarse leaves, and the treatment-T₇ is feeding with the larval feed of tender + medium + coarse leaves.

The disease-free layings of the Double Hybrid silkworm (FC₂ X FC₁) were kept for hatching in the laboratory. After hatching of the eggs Upon hatching, the chawki (the neonate) worms were brushed onto the rearing trays as per the treatments, each with three replications. They were separated into rearing trays into three replications as per treatments. The mulberry leaves as per the treatments were chopped and sprinkled over the worms. The bed was made in a uniform size as per space required for the worms. The improved technology of silkworm rearing described by Krishnaswami (1978) was followed in the present investigation. The quantity of food, spacing, and cleaning were done as per the stage of worms and their requirement. The disinfectants used were formalin 2% solution, Bleaching Powder 0.3%, Lime Powder, and Vijetha Powder. The equal quantity of food on the basis of based on weight as per treatment was given to the larvae for feeding. The chopped and whole mulberry leaves were fed to the chawki worms larvae and mature larvae respectively, three times a day at 8.00 am, 2.00 pm, and 6.00 pm. The size of the chopped leaves was regulated according to the condition and size of the worm. During moulting periods, the worms were not fed with any food and they were not disturbed. After the completion of each moult, a bed disinfectant

Comment [GN8]: What was the size of the trays/

Comment [GN9]: The size of the bed varies with the stage of the larvae. Please mention that.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN10]: Please mention the contents of this powder

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN11]: Please rephrase: Based on the stage of the larvae, a predetermined uniform quantum of feed was provided to the larvae across the treatments.

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN12]: What is meant by the condition? Please clarify.

Formatted: Highlight

Vijetha@ 4 kg/100 DFLs was dusted as per recommendation after passing every moult for the prevention of diseases, and feed was given after half an hour. After each moulting, bed cleaning was done by removing waste material from the tray with the help of cleaning nets.

Comment [GN13]: Please see the comment above

The quantity of food was increased as per the growth of the silkworm. After the full development of the worms, they were released on mountages for spinning cocoons. Treatment-wise harvesting of cocoons was made on the fifth day of releasing worms on the mountages. Three lots of 10 cocoons from each treatment and replication were randomly selected and used for recording cocoon parameters. The first lot was used for recording cocoon weight. The second lot was used for determining the single filament length and the third lot was used to observe the emergence of moths and fecundity.

Comment [GN14]: Were the quantities uniform across the replications and treatments? If so, please mention it here too.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Chopped mulberry leaves were fed to the chawki worms, and whole leaf whole-leaf feeding was followed for mature larvae. The worms were fed three times a day at 8.00 am, 2.00 pm, and 6.00 pm.

Comment [GN15]: Please remove this as it is available in the text in previous paragraph.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

The maximum larval weight in grams was recorded by taking the weight of 10 matured larvae just before the onset of spinning, which was expressed in grams. The cocoon weight was recorded on the 6th day of spinning when the cocoon weights are assumed to be maximum. The average of 10 cocoons was taken as single cocoon weight and expressed in grams. The cocoons were cut open at one end, and the shell weight was recorded after removing the pupae. The average of 10 shells was taken as single shell weight and expressed in grams. The cocoon shell ratio was calculated using the formula: Cocoon shell weight / Cocoon weight X weight X 100. Randomly selected 100 cocoons were weighed, and the cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae brushed was computed. The disease incidence was calculated as: No. of diseased larvae / Total no. of larvae observed X 100. The effective rate of rearing was calculated as: No. of cocoon harvested / No. of larvae retained X 100. Cocoon filament length was measured in meters by reeling 10 cocoons after Ten cocoons were boiling-boiled in water

Comment [GN16]: Please rephrase: In order to derive the cocoon yield per 10000 larvae brushed, the average weight of 100 cocoons as well as the percent cocoon recovery were used. What was the cocoon recovery percentage per 10000 larvae released?

Comment [GN17]: Please clarify the comments below or kindly mention the references for your formulae.

Comment [GN18]: Please expand as Number

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN19]: Released?

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN20]: It should be Number of cocoons harvested for the number of larvae released.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

~~with the help of a scale, and reeled for measurement of cocoon filament length, using a scale.~~ The filament weight was recorded by taking the weight of randomly selected 10 reeled silk filaments, expressed in grams. The denier (density of fibers) was calculated using the formula: Filament weight (g) / Filament length (m) X 9000.

Formatted: Space After: 0.2 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.99 li

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data in Table 1 revealed that feeding with tender leaves (T1) results in the significantly highest larval weight (of 38.82 g). ~~Feeding with coarse leaves only (T3) was recorded in treatment T₁, i.e., feeding with tender leaves. This resulted in the significantly lowest larval weight (of 21.47 g) was recorded in treatment T₃, i.e., feeding with coarse leaves only.~~ Several Earlier studies have indicated a significant impact of leaf type on the development and weight gain of silkworm larvae. ~~Basu et al., (1992), Vage et al., (2000a), Vage et al., (2000b), Rahmathulla et al., (2003), Sarkar et al., (2008), Kundgar, (2015) supported this finding by reporting that larvae Larvae fed on tender leaves had the highest weight at the end of the 5th instar, compared to those fed on medium leaves, coarse leaves or a mixture of leaves (Basu et al., (1992); Vage et al., (2000a), b; Vage et al., (2000b); Rahmathulla et al., (2003); Sarkar et al., (2008); Kundgar, (2015).~~

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

The treatment T₁, involving larvae fed on tender leaves exhibited the highest single cocoon weight at 1.94 g, while treatment T₃, comprising larvae fed on coarse leaves exclusively, demonstrated the lowest single cocoon weight at 1.29 g (Table 1). **Rahmathulla et al., (2003) and Kundgar (2015) also** reported the highest individual cocoon weight when silkworm larvae were exclusively fed tender leaves.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN21]: Please modify the sentence as shown above.

The single shell weight (Table 1) revealed that the treatment T₁ i.e. larvae fed on tender leaves, had the significantly highest shell weight (0.37 g) and the significantly lowest shell weight (0.22 g) was observed in treatment T₃ i.e. feeding with coarse leaves.

Krishnaswami (1971), Talebi et al., (2002), Rahmathulla et al., (2003), Sarkar et al., (2008) and Kundgar (2015) reported higher cocoon shell weight in batches fed with tender leaves compared to those fed with medium leaves.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN22]: Please modify the sentence as shown above.

The data (Table 1) revealed that the significantly highest cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae brushed (18.68 kg) was recorded in treatment T₁, i.e., feeding with tender leaves, and the significantly lower cocoon yield (12.80 kg) was recorded in treatment T₃, i.e., larvae fed with coarse leaves. Gabriel and Rapusas (1976), Tayade (1984), Rahmathulla et al., (2003), Krishnaprasad et al., (2003), Kundgar (2015) reported higher cocoon yield with tender leaves compared to medium leaves and coarse leaves.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN23]: Please modify the sentence as shown above.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

The treatment T₁, i.e., larvae fed on tender leaves, recorded the significantly longest filament length (of 922.33 meters) (Table 1), while treatment T₃, i.e., larvae fed on coarse leaves, exhibited the significantly shortest cocoon filament length of (755 meters). Basu et al., (1995), Rajashekharagouda and Lakshmikanth (1998), Vage and Ashoka (2000), Rahmathulla et al., (2003), Kundgar (2015), and Sarkar (2020) also support the above findings.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN24]: Please modify the sentence as shown above.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

The silkworms fed on tender leaves (T₁) recorded the significantly highest filament weight (0.28 grams), while the lowest filament weight (0.21 grams) was found in T₃, i.e., silkworms fed on coarse leaves. Treatment T₅, i.e., feeding with medium + coarse leaves, and T₆, i.e., feeding with tender + coarse leaves, also exhibited similarly low filament weights (0.22 grams). This finding is consistent with research by Rahmathulla et al., (2003), Kundgar (2015), and Sarkar (2020) all of which emphasize the favourable influence of tender leaves on filament weight.

Comment [GN25]: Can be modified as ...weight of 0.28gms. The same style can be followed for the rest of the text too.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN26]: Please modify as indicated previously.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN27]: Please modify as indicated previously.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

The significantly higher value of denier (2.76) was recorded in treatment T₁, where silkworms were fed on tender leaves. Conversely, the lowest denier (2.31) was recorded in T₆, where silkworms were fed with a combination of tender and coarse leaves. However, this

value was at par with all other treatments except T₄, where silkworms were fed with a combination of tender and medium leaves (2.54). **Rajashekhargouda and Lakshmi** (1998), Vage and Ashoka (2000) and Rahmathulla et al., (2003) reported higher denier value recorded in larvae fed on tender leaves. Overall, these findings highlight the importance of incorporating tender leaves into the diet of silkworm larvae may contribute to enhancing silk quality and improving the overall characteristics of silk filaments.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN28]: Please modify as shown previously.

Comment [GN29]: Please mention about leaf preference of older larvae.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

The A significantly lower disease incidence (1.30 per cent) was observed in treatment T₁ (Table 1), where larvae were fed tender leaves. Conversely, treatment T₃, where larvae were fed coarse leaves, recorded the significantly highest disease incidence (5.07 per cent). Barman (1992), Shivaprakasham et al., (1996), and Kundgar (2015) reported notably low disease incidences with within larvae fed with tender leaf feedings. The findings suggest that the maturity of mulberry leaves significantly influences disease incidence in silkworms.

Comment [GN30]: What was the disease observed?

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN31]: Please modify as shown previously.

The highest ERR was observed in Treatment T₁, where larvae were fed with tender leaves (98.40 per cent). This result was consistent with treatments T₂ (feeding with medium leaves), T₄ (feeding with tender + medium leaves), and T₆ (feeding with tender + coarse leaves), which recorded ERRs of 97.33 per cent, 98.07 per cent, and 97.27 per cent, respectively. Conversely, the lowest ERR of 94.57 percent was recorded in T₃, where larvae were fed on coarse leaves (94.57 per cent), although it was at par with T₅ (feeding with medium + coarse leaves) and T₇ (feeding with tender + medium + coarse leaves), both recording ERRs of 95.97 per cent. Krishnaswami (1971), Rahmathulla et al., (2003), Rahmathulla et al., (2006), Sarkar et al., (2008) and Sarkar et al., (2012) also observed high ERR when silkworms fed with tender mulberry leaves.

Comment [GN32]: Please expand the abbreviation ,when used for the first time.

Comment [GN33]:

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN34]: Please shorten this paragraph: T1,T2 and T6 were on par with ERRs of--- and so on.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN35]: The mean ERR is quite high and unusual. Were there no moulting failures?

Comment [GN36]: Missing in references.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

References

Barman A C. 1992. Manifestation of bacterial and viral diseases of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Role of mulberry leaves, spacing and feeding frequencies in relation to atmospheric high temperature and humidity. Bulletin of sericultural research 3 : 46-50.

Basu R, Roychoudhury N, Shamsuddin M, Sengupta, S K. 1992. Effect of leaf quality on growth and reproductive potentiality of *Bombyx mori* L. Annals of entomology 10 (2): 23-27.

Basu R, Roychoudary N, Shamsuddin M, Sen S K, Sinha S S. 1995. Effect of leaf quality on rearing performance of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Indian journal of sericulture. 36 : 116-120.

Benchamin K V, Jolly M S. 1986. Principles of silkworm rearing in proceedings of seminar on problems and prospects of sericulture. In Mahalingam, S. (Ed.), Vellore, India. pp.63-108.

Bongale U D, Chaluvachari M, Malikarjunappa R S, Narahari B V, Anantharaman M N, Dandin S B. 1997. Leaf nutritive quality associated with maturity levels in fourteen important varieties of mulberry (*Morus* spp.). Sericologia 37(1) : 71-81.

Gabriel B P, Rapusas H R. 1976. The growth and development of *Bombyx mori* L. at different leaf maturity and variety of mulberry. Journal philippine agriculture 60 (3-4): 139-146.

Krishnaprasad N K, Sannappa B, Dharma Naik B C. 2003. Effect of feeding mulberry leaves of variable maturity on cocoon traits of *Bombyx mori* L. Journal of Environmental and Ecology 21 (1): 112-116.

Krishnaswami S. 1971. Silkworm feeding trials for evaluating the quality of mulberry leaves as influenced by variety, spacing, and nitrogen fertilization. Indian journal of sericulture 19 (1): 79-89.

Krishnaswami S. 1978. New Technology of silkworm rearing, Bulletin No, 2, CSRTI, Mysore, India. 4-5.

Comment [GN37]: Please check. The style of quoting has to be uniform.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN38]: Please check the title

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN39]: Please check the format: capitalization of each word.

- Kundgar A R. 2015. Effect of different maturity leaves of M5 mulberry variety on life-cycle and economic traits of silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) (Master thesis). Vasantrya Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani.
- Koyuncu F. 2004. Morphological and agronomical characterization of native black mulberry (*Morus nigra*L.) in Sutculer, Turkey. *Plant Genetic Resources* 138:32-35.
- Nagaraju J. 2002. Application of genetic principles in improving silk production. *Current Science*83: 409–415.
- Rahmathulla V K, Tilak Raj, Himanthraj M T, Vindya G S, Geetha Devi R G. 2003. Effect of feeding different maturity leaves and intermixing of leaves on commercial characters of hybrid silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.). *International Journal of Industrial Entomology* 6(1): 15-19.
- Rahmathulla V K, Tilak R, Rajan R K. 2006. Influence of moisture content of mulberry leaf on growth and silk production in *Bombyx mori* L. *Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences*4(1): 25-30.
- Rajashekharagouda, Lakshmikanth. 1998. Effect of feeding tender leaf on economic traits of *Bombyx mori* L. Third national seminar on prospects and problems of sericulture in India, Vellore, Tamilnadu. pp.16-18.
- Rangaswami S, Narasimhanna M N, Kasiviswanathan K, Sastry C R, Jolly M S. 1976. Sericulture manual-1 mulberry cultivation. FAO Agricultural Services Bulletin, 15/1, Rome. 150.
- Sabhat A, Malik S A, Malik M A, Sofi A M, Bhat M A, Mir S A. 2016. Comparative study on nutritional composition of some mulberry varieties in relation to expression of economic characters of silkworm race. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 19(2): 935-941.
- Sarkar K, Bhattacharya D K, Chattopadhyay S K, Trivedi S, Ghoshal S. 2008. Effect of feeding of different maturity ~~level~~ levels of leaves on the commercial characteristics of *Bombyx mori* L. during dry summer in West Bengal. *Journal of environment and sociobiology* 5(1): 7-18.
- Sarkar K. 2020. Studies on the effect of different types of feeding on the commercial characters of mulberry silkworm (*Bombyx mori*) in West Bengal: A review. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology* 13(3), 305-321.

- Shivaprakasham N, Jayarani S, Rabindra R J. 1996. Influence of certain physical factors on the grasserie disease of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Indian journal of sericulture 35(1) : 69-70.
- Sinha A K, Srivastava P P, Brahmachari B N. 1993. Variation of chemical constituents in relation to maturity levels in mulberry varieties S1 and K2 under the agroclimatic conditions of Ranchi District. Indian Journal of Sericulture 32(2): 196-200.
- Talebi M R, Bahreini, Tajabadi N. 2002. Effect of mulberry leaves moisture on some traits of silkworm. Sericologia42(2): 1-5.
- Tayade D S. 1984. A comparative performance of pure silkworm races under Marathwada conditions. A paper presented at Agriculture Research Sub-committee, Maharashtra Agriculture University, Parbhani.
- Trivedi S, Sarkar K, Bhattacharya D K, Chattopadhyay S K, Ghoshal S. 2008. Study of pubescence in different maturity levels of leaves in different mulberry varieties. Journal of Environment and Sociobiology 5(1): 49-53.
- Vage M N, Ashoka J. 2000. Effect of tender feeding on silk technological parameters of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Sericologia 40: 79-89.
- Vage M N, Ashoka J, Rayer S G, Patil R R. 2000a. Influence of feeding tender mulberry shoots to fifth instar silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. on cocoon and allied traits. Karnataka journal of agricultural science 13(4): 887-896.
- Vage M N, Ashoka J, Patil R R. 2000b. Influence of tender shoot feeding on growth and development of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Karnataka journal of agricultural science 13(3): 604-612.

Table 1: Effect of feeding different maturity leaves of mulberry on the economic traits of bivoltine silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.).

Treatment No.	Treatment details (Leaf age)	Larval weight (g)	Cocoon weight (g)	Shell weight (g)	Cocoon shell ratio (%) [*]	Cocoon yield (kg)	Mean filament length (m)	Mean Filament weight(g)	Mean denier	Mean disease incidence (%) [*]	Mean ERR (%) [*]
T ₁	Feeding with tender leaves	38.82	1.94	0.37	19.21 (25.98) [*]	18.68	922.33	0.28	2.76	1.30 (6.54) [*]	98.40 (82.73) [*]
T ₂	Feeding with medium leaves	27.57	1.63	0.30	18.65 (25.57)	16.04	853.33	0.23	2.46	2.40 (8.90)	97.33 (80.68)
T ₃	Feeding with coarse leaves	21.47	1.29	0.22	17.35 (24.59)	12.80	755.00	0.21	2.47	5.07 (13.00)	97.33 (80.68)
T ₄	Feeding with tender + medium leaves	31.92	1.81	0.32	17.85 (24.98)	17.81	883.33	0.25	2.54	1.67 (7.41)	98.40 (82.73) [*]
T ₅	Feeding with medium + coarse leaves	24.23	1.43	0.26	18.18 (25.22)	14.95	821.67	0.21	2.34	3.57 (10.88)	97.33 (80.68)
T ₆	Feeding with tender + coarse leaves	26.53	1.57	0.28	18.26 (25.28)	15.37	844.00	0.22	2.31	2.57 (9.21)	97.33 (80.68)
T ₇	Feeding with tender + medium + coarse leaves	26.11	1.63	0.30	18.68 (25.59)	15.92	870.67	0.23	0.30	3.27 (10.41)	97.33 (80.68)
	S.E. ±	0.374	0.020	0.005	0.231	0.210	9.694	0.005	0.051	0.123	0.000
	C.D. at 5%	1.152	0.062	0.014	0.719	0.647	29.869	0.015	0.157	0.378	0.000
	C.V. (%)	2.30	2.15	2.69	1.58	2.28	1.98	3.60	3.58	2.247	2.015

*figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Highlight

Comment [GN40]: Please modify as shown above.

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Highlight

UNDER PEER REVIEW

