

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120833</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of leaf -age on economic traits of mulberry silkworm ( <i>Bombyx mori</i> L. )</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The work emphasizes the importance of larval diet in profitable cocoon production in silkworm. The impact of leaf age on various aspects of larval rearing and consequential output of better rearing rate and cocoon parameters.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Modified as "Impact of leaf -age on economic traits of mulberry silkworm ( <i>Bombyx mori</i> L.)".	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Comprehensive	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically valid. The treatments and replications are mentioned clearly. The method of analysis is also quoted. However, clarifications are required in the following: 1. The effective rearing rate: What was the loss due to moulting failure? 2. What was the disease recorded?	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Many references are old. Not a single reference from the past five years is quoted. A simple scan of literature on the internet shows a popular research paper in 2021 on the same subject(Annals of R.S.C.B., ISSN:1583-6258, Vol. 25, Issue 4, 2021, Pages. 10212 – 10217) but missing here.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Sufficient.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The comments may be addressed.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>N. Geetha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sugar Research Institute of Fiji, Fiji</b>