

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120833</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECT OF DIFFERENT MATURITY MULBERRY LEAVES ON ECONOMIC TRAITS OF BIVOLTINE SILKWORM ( <i>Bombyx mori</i> L. )</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Current article, and extremely relevant to the scientific community.  Well-directed manuscript for the applicability of the methodology.  Well-developed manuscript, especially in relation to the description of the characteristics of the material used, and the results demonstrated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add in this section a brief description of the Introduction of the topic, talking about the object of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, however some adjustments are necessary.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Methodology is described in detail.  Very comprehensive introduction in relation to the object of study.  Very informative Table of Results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. I recommend that authors add more current references. Especially in the Results and Discussion section, making the work more current and dynamic.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I recommend that authors make some changes to the references, seeking more up-to-date references. Furthermore, I recommend that the authors create some graphs to better detail and explore the results of the study, thus facilitating the interpretation of the results by readers.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ardilles Juan Carlos Alves dos Santos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Piauí, Brazil</b>