

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120616
Title of the Manuscript:	Towards Sustainable Onion Seed Production: An In-depth Analysis of Pest Control Practices, Knowledge Gaps, and Pollinator's Awareness among Onion Seed Farmers in Maharashtra, India
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The topic of the research is contemporary and worth to be researched with positive economic and financial implications</p> <p>The paper overall is clear and validated with relevant citations. The text is simple, easy to follow, and streamlined. The trail of thoughts is streamlined.</p> <p>It needs proofreading and editing [See attached Reviewed, marked-up, and commented Manuscript]</p> <p>The abstract is adequate. It includes the different necessary parts to make it representative of the paper's content.</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction is adequate and supported with enough relevant and updated concepts and information. Hence, as is, is enough to serve the paper's objectives.</p> <p>The methodology is clear and provides a good process for the paper.</p> <p>The results are clear and well-presented. The figures have no use since the full information was presented in the text in detail.</p> <p>The discussion is adequate, however, needs good proofreading and editing [see all suggested marked changes] Enough validation is provided (references)</p> <p>The conclusion is adequate</p> <p>It is necessary to elaborate on the Limitations of the study and add future research</p> <p>Are there any limitations to the research???</p> <p>Future work????</p> <p>Review carefully THE WRITE-UP OF THE REFERENCES FOR CONSISTENCY AND COMPLETENESS, and match Jornal's requirements.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon