

**Review Form 3**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JABB_120616</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Towards Sustainable Onion Seed Production: An In-depth Analysis of Pest Control Practices, Knowledge Gaps, and Pollinator's Awareness among Onion Seed Farmers in Maharashtra, India</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Review paper</b>   |

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                           | <b>Sustainable Onion Seed Production is a major concern for farmers.</b> The manuscript gives us detail information about a conducted study to know the pesticide use pattern by interviewing the farmers growing onion for seed production in Nashik Pune and Ahmednagar districts of Maharashtra state. It is technical very informative to know about it in ground scenario of onion seed production. This will be helpful for scientific community as a whole. |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)  | <b>It is good.</b>   |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.  | <b>Abstract is comprehensive.</b>  |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?   | <b>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</b>   |   |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <b>The manuscript is scientifically correct. Data are provided in tabulated forms. Graphical representation is also noticed which is helpful for readers.</b>  |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  | <b>Yes, references are sufficient</b>  |   |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments   |  |   |
| Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | <b>English quality is good</b>   |   |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments   | <b>Keywords should be written in alphabetical manner.</b>  |   |

#### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Hridesh Harsha Sarma</b>                 |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Assam Agricultural University, India</b> |