

**Review Form 1.8**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120175</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of organic manures and biocapsules on growth, yield and quality of strawberry (<i>Fragaria annanasa</i>–Winter dawn)</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.8

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes the manuscript is important for the scientific community. This title signifies research that bridges agricultural sustainability, soil health, crop yield and quality, biotechnological advancements, health and nutrition, climate change adaptation, and economic viability. Each of these aspects is crucial for advancing agricultural science and promoting sustainable farming practices.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is good. Here are some suggestions for the title "Impact of Organic Manures and Biocapsules on the Growth, Yield, and Quality of 'Winter Dawn' Strawberries (Fragaria x ananassa)" "Enhancing 'Winter Dawn' Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa) Production: The Role of Organic Manures and Biocapsules"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive enough. But addition of one or two points relevant to research gap will enhance the article in a scientific manner.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Overall, that each section is well-organized, with a clear connection between different elements of the manuscript.	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, the methodology adopted in the system is scientifically acceptable. But the statistical tool used in the study and data analysis part needs to be addressed.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	Yes the references in the manuscript are proper enough.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. But, there is some minor grammatical mistakes in the article.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Inclusion of statistical tool, graphs and figures and addition of recent references will enhance the article in a holistic manner.	

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Navaneetha Krishnan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b>