

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_121613</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of N and K fertilization on vegetative growth of Acroclinium (Helipterum roseum)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is suitable for scientific community. Because it's a versatile plant and persists long lasting blooms, which is of great economic importance.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	No. I would like to suggest not to use abbreviation in the topic. The most suitable topic should be- " <b>Evaluation of Nitrogen and Potassium fertilization on vegetative parameters of <i>Acroclonium (Helipterum roseum)</i></b> "	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Not comprehensive. In recorded data, mention clearly the maximum and minimum values of all the parameters.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is scientifically correct. As it includes all the necessary vegetative parameters. And treatments details and their doses are scientifically mentioned and accordingly conclusion is written. But in result and discussion part some et als are not written properly- it should be <i>et al.</i> , and highest and lowest data recorded should not put inside the brackets (). Remove brackets.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	No. Add more recent references between the years 2020-2023.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes language quality is suitable. Needed minor grammar correction.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Reena Kujur
Department, University & Country	Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, India