

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSSPN_120284</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Different Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on Growth Parameters of Soybean (Glycine max L. Merr.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract must include significance and novelty of the work.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The authors must include recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The english language is poor.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript is poorly written.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The abstract is poorly written. The abstract must include significance of the work.</li> <li>2) The authors have not included recent citations.</li> <li>3) The English language is poor.</li> <li>4) The results must be expressed as average of replicates <math>\pm</math> SD.</li> <li>5) The discussion section is very poor.</li> <li>6) The conclusion section is poorly written.</li> <li>7) The authors have not included recent references.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aparna B. Gunjal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. D. Y. Patil, Arts, Commerce and Science College, India</b>