

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121180
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different fertilizer recommendation approaches on yield, profitability and nutrient uptake by wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) in acid Alfisols of North Western Himalayas
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript comes under nutrient management strategies of crops. This research work is providing precise and scientific study of soil-nutrient-crop simulation. It may be helpful for further research workers as well as farmers community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, The title is suitable for this research work.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The major drawback of the abstract is not containing of treatment details. It must be included. In that case findings should be in precise form.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Some major scientific corrections are needed. The needful corrections are given below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction should be enriched with more recent citations of reliable research work. 2. In materials and method, in sub heading Statistical analysis, it should be clearly mentioned whether the range of significance was based on CD value or DMRT test. 3. In result and discussion part, the table 3 must be consisting of either SEM and CD value or DMRT grouping to get proper idea about range of significance. 4. Individual numbering of figures are needed for each nutrient uptake. 5. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are very old. References should be less than 10 years old. More recent references are suggested to add to make the research work more stable having strong base.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is quite good.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mrinmoy Karmakar
Department, University & Country	Seacom Skills University, India