

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121179
Title of the Manuscript:	Multiclass Text Classification of Climate Change Reports: Insights into Natural Disasters, Impacts, Locations, and Time Periods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it provides insights on text classification technique on climate change reports which mainly work on four classes. It further provides insights about orders of natural disaster on different locations and their impacts. These machine learning models helps in making most accurate predictions about various phenomenons.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of the article is comprehensive. The author can add few lines on text classification. Further, the author can highlight importance and reason of using the multinomial logistic regression approach.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All sections are well managed except discussion section which needed to be incorporate. Author can be more elaborative about the machine learning technique used for text classification.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Appropriate scientific terminologies have been used to explain the requisite scientific phenomenon. Further, the methodologies used by author are scientifically sound and models used are of contemporary relevance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent but author can add some more references related to the same work. Also the style of references are not in accordance to the guidelines of the respective journal. Please check and change the style of references as per the requirement of the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	Some of the necessary things like grammatical corrections, rewriting of sentences are need to be addressed for improvement of the manuscript. Rearrangement of sentences are required along with grammatical corrections for improvement in the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Himani Kanwar
Department, University & Country	Forest Research Institute, India