

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_121585
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of crop configuration and slag based liquid fertilizers on growth and yield of oilseed Brassica
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is the best research from point of view of current agricultural diversity. In this manuscript highlighted how to increase the productivity of soil through slag based fertilizers. This research indicate how to increase production and productivity with less impact of environment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, article suitable for scientific community.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract of the article comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript well drafted and scientifically best. But some minute typographical error to be found in manuscript, which is highlighted in review file. Research are may fruitful for the research scholar and science community.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent but not sufficient, so please add some more reference.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language quality is absolutely suitable for scholar communication, some minor revisions are there which mentioned in manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharvan Kumar
Department, University & Country	Invertis University, India