

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJA_121585</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of crop configuration and slag based liquid fertilizers on growth and yield of oilseed Brassica</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Brassica napus and B. rugosa both are important cash crops and an increase in the crop yield is always a challenging task for scientists. I like this manuscript because - -It discusses the role of plant density and crop configuration in enhancing yield. -Role of selecting appropriate liquid fertilizer to improve the crop yield. -Helpful to enhance the growth and yield of both crop species	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the author should name both species in the last. The title should be Impact of crop configuration and slag-based liquid fertilizers on growth and yield of oilseed <i>Brassica napus</i> and <i>Brassica rugosa</i> .	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the First four lines of the abstract are unnecessary and look like part of the material and method section, please remove them. Add the problem at the start of the abstract and the objective of this research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, the authors should separate the result and discussion sections and give the proper reason and mechanism which enhances growth and yield in both crops by crop configuration and liquid fertilizers with proper references.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Research work is scientifically novel. The authors give details about the use of plant density and liquid fertilizers for optimum growth Results are helpful to enhance the growth of both crop species.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NO, THIS MANUSCRIPT REQUIRE THE ADDITION OF AT LEAST 8 TO 10 NEW REFERENCES FROM THE INTRODUCTION TO THE CONCLUSION SECTION.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I highlighted the mistakes in red colour in the manuscript and attached the file with the comments file.  -The first paragraph of the introduction section is without reference add new references to justify these statements. -The third paragraph of the introduction section is without references add new references to justify the statements. -The authors must add the names of all three liquid fertilizers so that farmers will get to know which liquid fertilizers they must use for cultivating these crops and also add the names of fertilizers in the results and conclusion sections. - The authors should separate the result and discussion sections and give the proper reason and mechanism which enhances growth and yield in both crops by crop configuration and liquid fertilizers with proper references.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adnan Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aligarh Muslim University, India</b>