

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSSPN_121251</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Unravelling the Dynamics of Soil Health: Key Insight and Future Direction</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a manuscript that is key for soil study and understanding the complexity behind soil health and management. It brings thoughtful insights on soil use, aspects as tillage, organic and chemical fertilisation that can help drafting soil regulations for farmers, land managers, communities, and legislators. Also, for research purposes it gives what can be used as a starting point for every research done in soil management conservation, connecting sustainability and a wide range of disciplines in which soil health may contribute.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but it also mentions beneficial microbes, nematodes, cyanobacteria, and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (AMF) in soil improvement, aspects that are not so developed in the remaining parts of the manuscript. So, I would suggest that some more paragraphs regarding this were added to the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a complex review with robustness given by the citations on it, each idea is correctly correlated to a bibliography that is solid. It lacks however of more updated bibliography, which is recommended, specially in definitions. Also, some key concepts regarding global soil guidelines are recommended to be included (FAO, CBD, etc).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. Bach, D. (2022). Importance of Soil Biodiversity and it's contribution. Bio Bulletin. Netherlands. Singh, S. Chaudhary, D. Verma, S. (2023). Soil Microorganism and their Role. Agriculture Magazine.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Iris Marisa Maxaieie Victorino</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Eduardo Mondlane University, Mozambique</b>