

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOB_120484</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nutritional potential of the caterpillar <i>Imbrasia obscura</i> (Butler, 1878) consumed in the Kara region of Togo</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this research work because it highlighted the nutritional composition of the studied subject. This will help people be aware of what they consume and how much content they take in. It will also help those who are under nutritional watch to avoid taking it in case of medical problems	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-the AOAC method not AOAC methods</li> <li>-de-normalization and not de normalisation</li> <li>-The fatty acid....</li> <li>-‘ This caterpillar is made up” rather say, the result of this research showed that....</li> <li>-10.3%, remove the comma</li> <li>-consumers, remove comma</li> </ul> <p>Keywords: start each words with capital letter (uppercase)</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	PARTIALLY	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is scientifically robust because the methods are well-explanatory and easy to replicate. In addition, it provides a good recommendation (research article) for researcher in this field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	They are recent. Kindly make sure that you use the same reference style throughout as recommended by the Journal and ensure it is justified (using control J).	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Aboriginal not aboriginal</li> <li>- Write FAO in full first</li> <li>- “where almost all of” remove of</li> <li>- Please create a paragraph to talk a bit about the caterpillar</li> </ul> <p>Material and Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 2.1 Harvesting and biological material</li> <li>- Figure 1. Remove ‘Image of’</li> <li>- Kémérida and put comma before and</li> <li>- ‘where it is’ which is widely...</li> <li>- Enlarge the picture to be more clear</li> <li>- What did you mean by 70-degree alcohol? Is it a degree Celsius or what?</li> <li>- At the University of Lomé not in</li> <li>- ‘They were then transported to the Laboratory of Biochemistry Applied to Nutrition at the University of Lomé.’ This is not clear, please rephrase</li> <li>- Please do not underline the subsection</li> <li>- Do not report subsections in continuous tense. Just say ‘Determination of moisture content’ and please do the same for the rest</li> <li>- ‘It was performed on...’ Please be specific</li> <li>- Try and report the method in the past tense. You are mixing both past and present tense</li> <li>- ‘Introduced into tan’ What is tan?</li> <li>- ISUZU-type</li> <li>- ‘for 7 days for dry’ to dry</li> <li>- ‘Samples were analyzed in 2021 and 2022.’ Remove, please</li> <li>- 200ml do not join number with unit (do the same for all)</li> <li>- ‘The resulting insolubles’ insoluble</li> <li>- ‘weighed and’ comma before and</li> <li>- ‘obtain 5 siphonings’ siphoning not plural</li> <li>- ‘carbohydrates and’ comma before and</li> <li>- ‘the upper phase transferred’ was transferred</li> <li>- ‘made up with petroleum ether’ made up of</li> <li>- ‘contents of the flashand’ flash and</li> <li>- ‘the riboflavin.The’ give space before ‘THE’</li> <li>- ‘100 mg of sample’ of the sample</li> <li>- ‘the mixture stirred’ was stirred</li> <li>- ‘then dried’ and then</li> <li>- ‘evaporated in vacuo’ in a vacuum</li> <li>- ‘were calculated on the basis of’ based on</li> </ul> <p>RESULT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In table 4. Percentage not Pourcentage</li> </ul> <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ‘Generally speaking, most’ Just say Most</li> <li>- ‘them with sufficient quantities of minerals’ enough</li> <li>- ‘significantly improve on the’ remove ON</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sakariyau A. Waheed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Old Dominion University, United States of America</b>