

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_121116
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Constructions in Tamil and English- A Comparative Study with the existing Machine Translation systems
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific research is an independent scientific work of practical value. The work has been done at a good scientific level, it contains a number of conclusions of practical interest and can be used in general linguistics, syntax, stylistics and comparative lexicology courses.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable and reflects the essence of the text.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a good analysis of Comparative Constructions in Tamil from a practical point of view. The author has focused on mapping comparative constructions in Tamil with that of English and formulated rules for Machine Translation. As a positive point, it should be noted that the translation between Comparative construction sentences in Tamil and English are tested with the existing Machine Translation system and their results are discussed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The References are sufficient and recent, but the design of the References should be standardized.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications. Nevertheless, the text should be properly checked (for example, p.1: "a quality or property <u>whose</u> extent"; p.2: "Tamil does not makes").	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irina A. Zyubina
Department, University & Country	Southern Federal University, Institute of Philology, Russia