

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121107
Title of the Manuscript:	Communication Gap: An In-Depth Analysis of The Quality of Teacher-Student Communication in The Teaching-Learning Process
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Research on the "Communication Gap: An In-Depth Analysis of The Quality of Teacher-Student Communication in The Teaching-Learning Process" is crucial for identifying and addressing barriers that hinder effective learning. Educators can enhance student engagement, understanding, and overall academic success by improving teacher-student communication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for this article as it clearly reflects the main variables and the study design.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The introduction part needs improvement, and a theoretical framework should be added. Additionally, the discussion section should be enhanced based on previous studies.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The novelty of this study could be improved by using regression or mediation analysis instead of just correlation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Needs to use some remarkable studies such as: Ghavifekr, S., & Rosdy, W. A. W. (2015). Teaching and learning with technology: Effectiveness of ICT integration in schools. <i>International journal of research in education and science</i> , 1(2), 175-191. Elo, S., & Kyngäs, H. (2008). The qualitative content analysis process. <i>Journal of advanced nursing</i> , 62(1), 107-115.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, needs major revision	
Optional/General comments	<p>This article requires improvement based on the following comments:</p> <p>The initial sentence is long and could be broken down for better readability. Consider rephrasing to enhance clarity.</p> <p>Ensure consistent use of tense throughout the paragraph and correct grammatical errors for better flow and coherence.</p> <p>Some phrases are incomplete or ambiguous. Provide complete thoughts and explanations where necessary.</p> <p>Ensure each section logically follows the previous one. Use paragraphs to separate different ideas. Briefly introduce statistical methods like "Mean" and "Pearson-r" and explain their relevance to the study.</p> <p>Avoid repeating the same points. Instead, summarize key findings concisely.</p> <p>Overall, with these improvements, this article would be suitable for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeid Motevalli
Department, University & Country	UCSI University, Malaysia